

UNITED STATES DE RTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/587,875 06/06/00 LUTHRA A 16230-4923 **EXAMINER** IM22/0709 CHARLES E DUNLAP HENDERSON JR.C HOWELL & HAFERKAMP P C ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 7733 FORSYTH BLVD **SUITE 1400** 1713 ST LOUIS MO 63105 DATE MAILED: 07/09/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

`\		
Offic Acti n Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/587,875	LUTHRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher Henderson	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disp sition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4e52</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) \boxtimes Claims $\frac{2}{1.52}$ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e).
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson Drawing Review (PTO-9	19) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Give status of SN 171948 in CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION(S)

Correct dependency of claims 50 and 52.

Correct numbering of claims.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 22, drawn to copolymers of a, b, c, d, classified in class 526, subclass 287 etc.
- II. Claim 24, drawn to copolymer of I with acrolein unit (au), classified in class 526, subclass 315 etc.
- III. Claim 23, drawn to copolymer a, b, c, d, e, classified in class 526, subclass 287 etc.
- IV Claim 25, drawn to copolymer of III with au classified in class 526, subclass 315
- V Claims 35-37, drawn to copolymer of I or III with heparin classified in class 526, subclass 238.2, etc.
- VI Claim 38, drawn to copolymer of I or III hiruden, etc classified in class 526, subclass 266, etc.
- VII Claim 39, drawn to coated medical device (md), classified in class 428, subclass various.
- VIII Claims 40-42, drawn to method of coating <u>md</u>, classified in class 427, etc, subclass various.

26-34?

Application/Control Number: 09587875

Art Unit: 1713

IX Claims 43, 47-50, drawn to heparin compound, classified in class 536 etc, subclass various.

45-46

X Claims 44, 51, 52, drawn to method of making <u>he</u>, classified in class 536, etc, subclass various. Other claims remaining must be grouped with the appropriate elected group and species.

Inventions I-VI and IX are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MEP. § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MEP. § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as components for preparation of pesticidal compositions, fluidization additives, etc and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions VII and VIII are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as

Application/Control Number: 09587875

Art Unit: 1713

claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MEP. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case spraying monomeric mixtures on surfaces, etc.

Inventions IX and X are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MEP. § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the acyl halides could be used in treatment of heparin polyether alcohols.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups V is not required for Group VI, restriction of examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: See pages 16-25, 13, 8, etc.

Application/Control Number: 09587875

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim(s) as presented are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MEP. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to K. Solomon on June 8, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09587875

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors Is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to C.Henderson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2448. The examiner

can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday from 9AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, D. Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-3429.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 3088-0661.

CHenderson:evh

06/27/01

CHRISTOPHER HENDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT